

REMARKS

Claims 31 to 35 are added, and therefore claims 1 to 19, 22, 25, 28 and 31 to 35 are now pending.

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the present application based on the following.

With respect to paragraph seven (7) of the Office Action, Applicants thank the Examiner for allowing claims 1 to 16, 18, 19, 22 and 25.

With respect to paragraph four (4), claims 17 and 28 were rejected under the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112 as to enablement because the claims did not recite a communication network or the Internet. With respect to paragraph six (6), claims 17 and 28 were rejected under the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112 as incomplete because the claims did not recite a communication network or the Internet.

To facilitate matters, claim 17 has been rewritten to recite a communication network. Accordingly, the rejections as to the first and second paragraph of Section 112 have been obviated. Accordingly, claim 17 is allowable since it recites a communication network. Claim 28 depends from claim 17, and is therefore allowable for the same reasons as claim 28. It is therefore respectfully requested that the enablement and incompleteness rejections be withdrawn as to claims 17 and 28.

For at least the reasons discussed above, withdrawal of the incompleteness rejections with respect to claims 17 and 28 is respectfully requested.


New claims 31 to 35 do not add any new matter and are supported by the present application (including the specification). New claims 31 to 35 depend from claim 17, and are therefore allowable for the same reasons as claim 17. Support is found, for example, at page 3, lines 24 to 34, of the present application and in Figure 1. Approval and entry are respectfully requested.

In summary, it is respectfully submitted that claims 17 and 28 and new claims 31 to 35 are allowable – like allowed claims 1 to 16, 18, 19, 22 and 25.

U.S. Pat. App. Ser. No. 09/447,319
Attorney Docket No. 11129/2
Reply to Office Action of April 25, 2006

CONCLUSION

In view of all of the above, it is believed that the rejections have been obviated, and that claims 17 and 28 and 31 to 35 are allowable – like allowed claims 1 to 16, 18, 19, 22 and 25. It is therefore respectfully requested that the rejections be withdrawn, and that the present application issue as early as possible.

Dated: 6/18/2006 Respectfully submitted,
By: 
Aaron C. Deditch
(Reg. No. 33,865)

KENYON & KENYON LLP
One Broadway
New York, New York 10004
(212) 425-7200

CUSTOMER NO. 26646

1176223